

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯನರು ಯಾವುದೇ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಅದನ್ನು ಬಿಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

CONSTRUCTION OF SCHOOL BUILDINGS IN SOME VILLAGES OF BELGAUM, BIJAPUR, DHARWAR AND KARWAR DISTRICTS.

*Q.—912. Sri H. V. KOUJALGI (Sampagaon).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the number of school buildings for which the contribution has been received from the villagers from 1st November 1956 to 1960 March end, in the districts of Belgaum, Bijapur, Dharwar and Karwar;

(b) the number of school buildings built during the above period in the said Districts ;

(c) the number of villages in which the school buildings are not yet constructed though all conditions on the part of the villagers are fulfilled during the above period in the said Districts ?

A.—Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI (Minister for Education).—

<i>Districts</i>	<i>No. of School buildings</i>
(a) Belgaum	... 10
Bijapur	... 20
Dharwar	... 20
Karwar	... 12
(b) Belgaum	... 1
Bijapur	... 6
Dharwar	... nil
Karwar	... 1
	<i>No. of villages</i>
(c) Belgaum	... 9
Bijapur	... 14
Dharwar	... 20
Karwar	... 11

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—May I know Sir, why the buildings mentioned at (c) could not be taken up though the villagers have contributed and also fulfilled other conditions ?

† Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI.—There are two reasons. After the abolition of the district board in the Bombay Karnatak area, the district building committee which executes the work is no longer in existence. Therefore, the district development council was entrusted with this work. There also the post of the District Engineer is abolished. Therefore, the taluk boards have been asked to execute this work.

Sri F. H. MOHSIN.—As regards the other three districts—Belgaum, Bijapur and Karwar, Government have constructed at least some buildings. May I know why Government has been indifferent towards Dharwar district along where no school buildings has come up?

Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI.—It is not the Government which is in any way responsible for that. This work is entrusted to the D.C. It is his duty to execute the work. For 1959-60 the Budget provision was made for Dharwar district but could not be utilised because of these difficulties. For instance for 1959-60, the budget provision made was Rs. 86,400. But the sanction was conveyed a little late. Therefore, it lapsed.

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—Is it not a fact that if the work is entrusted to revenue officers, since they have no time to look into these matters, the work cannot be taken up?

Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI.—The Revenue Officers also have no engineering staff under them. They have to take them from the NES or the taluk boards. Therefore, they have now entrusted that work to the taluk board. They will take up the work.

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—Is it not a fact that in all these four districts the local board officers P.W. Officers are free and they have no work and it is only due to not providing funds in time that no buildings could be taken up?

Sri ANNARAO GANAMUKHI.—I know during 1959-60 sanction was conveyed a little late. Therefore, the amount lapsed. For 1960-61, we are going to give more funds in time.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಹುಡ್ಕೆಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ಹಣವನ್ನೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಒದಗಿಸಿದ್ದರೂ, ರೋಕರ್ ಆಫೀಸರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಮಾಚಾರ ತಲುಪುವುದು ನಿಧಾನವಾದುದರಿಂದ ಈ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗಿದೆಯಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗರೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಸ್. ಹುರಕೋಟಿ.—ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಡೆವಲಪ್ ಮೆಂಟ್ ಔಫೀಸರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಔಫೀಸರಿಗೆ ಈ ಹಣ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲವೆನ್ನುವುದು ನಿಜವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖಿ.—ಹಾಗೇನೂ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ. 1961-62 ನೆಯ ಸಾಲಿಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಹಣ ಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಾವು ಬರೆದಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಆ ಸಮಾಚಾರ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಹಣ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಸ್. ಹುರಕೋಟಿ.—ವರ್ಷದ ಆಖಿರಿಗೆ ಸಮೀಪಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದರೂ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೂ ಹಣ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಬಹಳ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತೀವ್ರಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖಿ.—ಈಗ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ.